

Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences

Effect of Some Bio-Stimulants Materials On Growth, Yield And Quality Of Snap Bean Pods

SA Shehata¹, MS Emam², SZ Abd El-Rahman², MA El-Helaly¹, and NA Gad El-Rab^{2*}.

¹Vegetable Corps Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt

²Postharvest and Handling of Vegetable Crops Research Department, Horticulture Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt

ABSTRACT

Two plastic house experiments were carried out during the winter seasons of 2014 - 2015 and 2015 - 2016 at the Agricultural Experiment Station, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University and Laboratory of Handling of Vegetable Crops Department, Giza to study the effect of some bio-stimulants materials, i.e., seaweed extract (SWE) as foliar spray, humic acid (HA) and effective microorganisms (EM) as soil application and combination between them compared with the control (spray with water only) on vegetative growth parameters, total yield and its components and pod quality of snap beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) cv. Hama. Results show that snap bean plants treated with SWE, HA and EM either alone or combination between them had a significantly increased vegetative growth parameters (plant height, number of leaves/plant and chlorophyll reading in leaves), total yield and its components (pod weight, number of pods/ plant and total yield) and pod quality (pod length, texture, dry mater, ascorbic acid, total chlorophyll content, protein % and total carbohydrate %) compared to untreated plants (control). However, the combination of SWE + HA + EM was the most favorable treatment for enhancing these characters followed by HA + EM with significant differences between them. These treatments gave the minimum value of fiber content % in pods, while SWE treatment or untreated plants (control) had the maximum ones. Plants treated with SWE, HA and EM alone were less effective in enhancing vegetative growth parameters, total yield and its components and pod quality as compared with using the mixture between them.

Keywords: Snap bean, *Phaseolus vulgaris*, seaweed extra, humic acid, effective microorganism, growth, yield, quality.

**Corresponding author*

INTRODUCTION

Snap bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) is one of the most important members of leguminous crops grown in Egypt for either local consumption or exportation. This crop is widely used as a source of energy. It is rich in protein, dietary fibers, minerals (Ca, P, Fe, K, Mg and Mn) and vitamins (A, B1, B2 and C) with high amino acids [27]. Pre-harvest plant nutrition is a major factor influence on fruit and vegetable quality [26]. Increasing the productivity of snap bean pods with high quality is considered an important aim that could be achieved through using some bio-stimulant materials, i.e., seaweed extract (SWE) as foliar spray, humic acid (HA) and effective microorganisms (EM) as soil fertilizer[2,11,9] respectively.

Seaweed extract is a new generation of natural organic fertilizers containing highly effective nutritious and promotes faster germination of seeds and increase yield and resistant ability of many crops, unlike the chemical fertilizers, extracts derived from seaweeds are biodegradable, non-toxic, non-polluting and non-hazardous to humans, animals and birds [6]. [32] showed that seaweed application caused an increment in fresh and dry weight of leaves, number of leaves/plant and chlorophyll content in leaves and plant height in beans, increased plant growth of pea plant[22], increased number of leaves per plant and average leaf area, also exhibited the highest significantly pod yield compared to untreated control[2] on snap bean.

Nowadays the use of humic acid has increased with increasing the agricultural production and the most economical humic acid is almost applied directly to the soil and/or as a foliar application to the plants. Bio-organic fertilizer has been reported to be important in reducing the environmental pollution along with reducing the production coast [11]. Humic acid is a commercial product contains many elements which improve the soil fertility and increasing the availability of nutrient elements and consequently affected plant growth and yield [25]. Many investigators reported that soil application or foliar spray with humic acid improved plant growth, the productivity and green pod quality [8,12,17] on snap bean; [18] on pea. However, the magnitude of increase was higher in soil than the foliar application [4] on snap bean.

Effective microorganisms (EM) are a commercial biofertilizer that contains a mixture of co-existing beneficial microorganisms collected from natural environments. Microorganisms in EM improve crop health and yield by increasing photosynthesis, producing bioactive substances such as hormones and enzymes, accelerating decomposition of organic materials and controlling soil-borne diseases [14]. Previous studied on EM application have revealed that plant growth in EM applied treatment was just as good or better, and quality of plant products was superior to conventional farming [16] on snap bean.

Therefore, the aim of this work was to study the effect of seaweed extract, humic acid and effective microorganisms alone or combination between them compared with the control on vegetative growth, yield and its components and pod quality of snap beans.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This experiment was carried out under plastic house conditions during winter seasons of 2014 - 2015 and 2015 - 2016 at the Agricultural Experiment Station, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University to study the effect of some bio-stimulants materials, i.e., seaweed extract (SWE) as foliar spray, humic acid (HA) and effective microorganisms (EM) as soil application and combination between them compared with the control (spray with water only) on vegetative growth parameters, total yield and its components and pod quality of snap beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) cv. Hama. The physical and chemical properties of the loamy soil under study (Table 1) were determined at the Soil and Water Research Institute, ARC. Seeds of snap bean cv. Hama were sown in 15th and 21th October in 2014 and 2015 seasons respectively. The plastic house was 40 m long and 8 m wide (320 m²) and divided into five beds, each 1 m wide and 40 m long. The experiment occupied three beds. Seeds were sown in hills on two sides of each bed and 50 cm apart, plants were thinned leaving one plant/hill.

Seaweed extract (Oligo-X as commercial name) was obtained from Union for Agriculture Development (UAD) Company, Cairo, Egypt; it contains organic matter (6% total amino acid, 35% carbohydrate, 10%alginate acid, 4% mannitol, 0.04% betaines); growth regulators (0.03% IAA, 0.02% cytokinins (adenine)) and some macro and micro elements(3.12 % N, 2.61 % P₂O₅, 4.71 % K₂O, 0.25 %Ca, 3.56 % S and 0.58% Mg). Humic acid (Hammer as a commercial name) was obtained from Union for Agriculture

Development (UAD) Company, Cairo, Egypt; it contains humate potassium 85% and potassium oxide 10%. Effective microorganisms (EM commercial name) were obtained from Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation; it contains (photosynthetic bacteria, lactic acid bacteria, yeast and the others).

This experiment included eight treatments as follow:

- 1- Foliar spray with water (control).
- 2- Seaweed extract (SEW) at 0.2% (2cm/l).
- 3- Humic acid (HA) at 0.2% (2g/l).
- 4- Effective microorganisms (EM) at 0.2% (2cm/l).
- 5- Seaweed extract + Humic acid (SWE + HA).
- 6- Seaweed extract + Effective microorganisms (SWE + EM).
- 7- Humic acid + Effective microorganisms (HA + EM).
- 8- Seaweed extract + Humic acid + Effective microorganisms (SWE + HA + EM).

These treatments were added three times during the growth period of snap bean plants at 30, 45, 60 days after sowing and the fertilizers were manually added separately for each plant.

The previous treatments were arranged in a complete randomized block design with three replicates. The area of each plot was 5 m² with 20 plants. Each replicate considered as of one plot. The recommended agricultural practices for commercial snap bean production, i.e., drip irrigation; fertilization and weed control were followed according to Ministry of Agriculture recommendation.

The following data were recorded:

Vegetative growth

A representative sample of 5 plants was taken by random 65 days after sowing (flowering stage), from each experimental plot for measuring plant growth characters:

Plant height from the soil surface to the highest point of the plant (cm), number of leaves per plant, total chlorophyll content in leaves was measured as SPAD units using monitor chlorophyll meter (SPAD- 501).

Yield and its components

At harvesting time during the first week of January (75 days from sowing) snap beans were picked for estimation of yield parameters:

Pod weight/plant (g), number of pods/plant, total yield/ plot (kg) (Determined for all pickings and calculated as total fresh weight of pods).

Pods quality characteristics

A random sample of 30 pods from each replicate was taken at harvest and examined for the following characters:

Physical properties

Pod length (cm) and pod diameter (mm) was measured by a Vernier Caliper, pod texture (g/cm²) was recorded by TA-1000 texture analyzer instrument using a penetrating cylinder of 1 mm diameter, to a constant distance (3 and 5mm) inside the pulp of fruits, and by a constant speed 2mm per sec., and the peak of resistance was recorded in g/cm².

Chemical properties

Dry matter percentage (%), ascorbic acid content (mg/100g pod fresh weight) was determined by titration method using 2,6 dichloro-phenol-indo-phenol the dye as described in [1], total chlorophyll content

(mg/100g pod fresh weight) in pods: it was determined according to the method described by [33], total carbohydrates percentage (%) in pods: It was measured according to [7], protein percentage (%) in pods: it was calculated by multiplying the total nitrogen by the factor 6.25, it was determined according to [1], fiber percentage (%) in pods: it was determined according to [23].

Statistical analysis

Data in the two seasons were subjected to statistical analysis as described by [29]. Treatment mean differences were compared using LSD test to evaluate the significant differences of the data at $p \geq 0.05$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Vegetative growth

Data in Table 2 showed that snap bean plants treated with SWE, HA and EM alone or the mixture between them had a significantly increased on all studied vegetative growth parameters (plant height, number of leaves/ plant and chlorophyll reading (SPAD) in leaves compared to the untreated plants (control) treatment. In this respect; plants treated with the mixture SWE + HA + EM produced the highest value of all growth parameters, followed by plant treated with the mixture of HA + EM with significant differences between them. On the other hand, the lowest values in this respect were recorded in the control treatment, plants treated with SWE, HA and EM alone were less effective in enhancing vegetative growth. These results were true in the two seasons and in agreement with [12] for humic acid on snap bean; [2] for seaweed on snap bean and [9] for HA or EM on snap bean.

Table 1: Physical and chemical characteristic of experimental soil as average of both seasons 2014/2015 and 2015/2016

| Physical properties | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------|--------------------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Sand % | Silt % | Clay % | Organic matter % | Texture | | | | |
| 19 | 48.4 | 30.4 | 2.2 | loamy | | | | |
| Chemical properties | | | | | | | | |
| EC m.mhos/cm | pH | Cations (Meq.L ⁻¹) | | | Anions (Meq.L ⁻¹) | | | |
| | | Ca ⁺⁺ | Mg ⁺⁺ | K ⁺ | Na ⁺ | Cl ⁻ | HCO ₃ ⁻ | SO ₄ ⁻ |
| 0.91 | 8 | 4.3 | 5.1 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 5.4 | 4.6 |

Table 2: Effect of some bio-stimulants materials on vegetative growth of snap bean plants during 2014 / 2015 and 2015 / 2016 seasons

| Treatment ² | 2014 / 2015 | | | 2015 / 2016 | | |
|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| | Plant height (cm) | No. of leaves / plant | Total chlorophyll (SPAD) | Plant height (cm) | No. of leaves / plant | Total chlorophyll (SPAD) |
| Control | 161.42 | 12.03 | 36.07 | 160.05 | 12.10 | 37.26 |
| SWE | 176.11 | 13.33 | 38.37 | 171.25 | 13.50 | 40.40 |
| HA | 193.56 | 15.08 | 39.37 | 188.17 | 15.25 | 41.46 |
| EM | 184.77 | 14.25 | 39.17 | 179.25 | 14.17 | 41.00 |
| SWE + HA | 199.57 | 15.92 | 39.57 | 198.50 | 16.17 | 41.87 |
| SWE +EM | 189.11 | 15.08 | 39.30 | 191.58 | 15.00 | 41.40 |
| HA + EM | 208.57 | 16.83 | 40.47 | 204.75 | 16.96 | 42.30 |
| SWE + HA + EM | 220.78 | 18.12 | 42.60 | 222.58 | 18.33 | 43.30 |
| LSD at 5% | 12.03 | 1.27 | 2.10 | 11.00 | 1.33 | 0.98 |

²SWE: seaweed extract; HA: Humic acid; EM: effective microorganisms

The beneficial effect of seaweed extract application may be due to that seaweed extract contain naturally occurring supplying nutrients, plant growth hormones (auxins, cytokines and gibberellins) as well as other plant bio-stimulants; e.g. amino acids, vitamins, that could maintain photosynthetic rates, improve plant resistances, delay plant senescence and control cell division have been reported [2], improved total chlorophyll in leaves [3].

The increment in vegetative growth of bean plants by adding HA to the plant may be due to that HA contains many elements which improve the plant growth and its acting as a source of plant growth hormones. Also, increase the soil organic matter which improved retention of nutrients and increased the soil microbial activity, which converts the nutrients from organic to mineralized form as reported by [30]. Humic acid compounds including increased photosynthesis and respiration rates in plants [5]. And positive effects on chlorophyll contents of soybean [28].

The enhancing effect of EM of snap bean plant growth may be due to the activity of the introduced beneficial microorganisms, which enhanced the decomposition of organic materials and the release of nutrients for plant uptake [15]. Also, the activity of photosynthetic bacteria such as *Rhodospirillum rubrum* and *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* present in EM solution. These bacteria are a group of independent, self-supporting microbes. They synthesize useful substances from secretions of plant roots, organic matter and harmful gases such as hydrogen sulfide, by using sunlight and the heat of soil as sources of energy [20]. The useful substances produced by these bacteria include amino acids, polysaccharides, nucleic acids, bioactive substances, and sugars, all of which promote plant growth and development. The metabolites developed by these microbes are absorbed directly by plants [24].

Yield and its components

Data in Table 3 showed that snap bean plants treated with SWE, HA and EM either alone or in combination between them had significantly increased pod weight, number of pods/plant and total pod yield compared to control plants. However, plants treated with the combination SWE, HA and EM was the most favorable treatment for enhancing pod weight (9.73 and 9.71 g), number of pods per plant (67.23 and 69.83) and total pod yield (126.17 and 129.66 kg/plot) in the first and second seasons respectively, followed by plants treated with HA+EM with significant differences between them in the two seasons. The increase in yield was due to increases in number of pods as well as weight of pod per plant. While the lowest values in this respect were recorded in the untreated plants (control) treatment.

Table 3: Effect of some bio-stimulants materials on total yield and its components of snap bean plants during 2014 / 2015 and 2015 / 2016 seasons.

| Treatment ^z | 2014 / 2015 | | | 2015 / 2016 | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| | Pod weight /plant (g) | No. of pods / plant | Total pod yield (kg)/plot | Pod weight /plant (g) | No. of pods / plant | Total pod yield (kg)/plot |
| Control | 8.00 | 51.67 | 82.96 | 8.06 | 48.83 | 80.13 |
| SWE | 8.40 | 54.00 | 90.61 | 8.23 | 52.58 | 86.45 |
| HA | 8.84 | 56.10 | 99.04 | 8.66 | 57.00 | 100.31 |
| EM | 8.65 | 54.81 | 94.70 | 8.53 | 53.72 | 91.75 |
| SWE + HA | 9.10 | 57.68 | 104.76 | 8.92 | 56.42 | 102.20 |
| SWE +EM | 8.77 | 56.00 | 98.10 | 8.60 | 54.00 | 92.80 |
| HA + EM | 9.26 | 59.14 | 109.40 | 9.41 | 57.25 | 108.82 |
| SWE + HA + EM | 9.73 | 67.23 | 126.17 | 9.71 | 69.83 | 129.66 |
| LSD at 5% | 0.38 | 2.20 | 6.41 | 0.16 | 2.35 | 6.10 |

^zSWE: seaweed extract; HA: Humic acid; EM: effective microorganisms.

These results were true in the two seasons and in agreement with [12] for humic acid on snap bean; [2] for seaweed on snap bean and [9] on snap bean for HA and EM.

The beneficial effect of seaweed extract application on yield and its components of snap bean may be due to the increasing in vegetative growth parameters, also increase in number of pods as well as weight of pods per plant [2,19] found that treatment with seaweed extracts on yield has enhancement effects due to improved chlorophyll content in leaves of various crop plants have been attributed to the betaines present in seaweed, yield increases in seaweed-treated plants are thought to be associated with the hormonal substances present in the extracts, especially cytokinins; cytokinins in vegetative plant organs are associated with nutrient partitioning, whereas in reproductive organs, high levels of cytokinins may be linked with nutrient.

The enhancing effect of humic acid on yield and its components could be explained as humic acid is rich in both organic and mineral substances which are essential to plant growth and consequently increase yield quality and quantity [11]. Also, humic acid enhancing effect on increase soil moisture holding capacity, improve soil texture as well as promote the uptake of nutrients leading to stimulation of plant growth and consequently on total pods yield and its components [35].

The enhancing effect of EM of snap bean yield may be due to that EM may have induced other mechanisms that exert a positive effect on the yield [13].

Pod quality

Data in Table 4&5 revealed that all studied applications significantly increased pod quality (pod length, texture, dry matter, ascorbic acid, total chlorophyll content, total carbohydrate, and protein) in snap bean pods comparing with control pods. In this respect, snap bean pods obtained from the plant treated with SWE + HA + EM was the most effective treatment for improving pod quality ,followed by HA+ EM treatment with significant differences between the mof these characters. The lowest values of these characters were resulted by untreated plants control. However, concerning pod diameter, there were no significant differences between all treatments in the two seasons.

Table 4: Effect of some bio-stimulants materials on physical properties of snap bean pods during 2014 / 2015 and 2015 / 2016 seasons

| Treatment ² | 2014 / 2015 | | | | 2015 / 2016 | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|
| | Pod length (cm) | Pod diameter (cm) | Pod texture (g/cm ²) | Dry matter (%) | Pod length (cm) | Pod diameter (cm) | Pod texture (g/cm ²) | Dry matter (%) |
| Control | 12.77 | 8.27 | 23.00 | 5.80 | 12.89 | 8.43 | 21.30 | 5.32 |
| SWE | 13.44 | 8.43 | 24.22 | 6.30 | 13.76 | 8.55 | 22.60 | 5.69 |
| HA | 14.58 | 8.57 | 26.40 | 6.93 | 14.40 | 8.71 | 26.20 | 6.16 |
| EM | 13.91 | 8.51 | 25.80 | 6.74 | 13.82 | 8.67 | 25.10 | 6.04 |
| SWE + HA | 14.93 | 8.67 | 27.00 | 7.13 | 14.74 | 8.80 | 27.63 | 6.49 |
| SWE +EM | 14.38 | 8.64 | 26.20 | 6.85 | 14.28 | 8.74 | 25.94 | 6.24 |
| HA + EM | 15.41 | 8.76 | 27.30 | 7.40 | 14.92 | 8.80 | 27.87 | 6.78 |
| SWE + HA + EM | 15.94 | 8.82 | 28.00 | 7.82 | 15.37 | 8.84 | 28.93 | 7.26 |
| LSD at 5% | 0.49 | NS | 0.67 | 0.38 | 0.40 | NS | 1.03 | 0.36 |

²SWE: seaweed extract; HA: Humic acid; EM: effective microorganisms

Table 5: Effect of some bio-stimulants materials on chemical properties of snap bean pods during 2014 / 2015 and 2015 / 2016 seasons

| Treatment ² | 2014 / 2015 | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| | Ascorbic acid (mg/100 g f.w.) | Total chlorophyll (mg/100 g f.w.) | Total carbohydrate (%) | Protein (%) | Fiber (%) |
| Control | 15.00 | 40.02 | 22.34 | 15.80 | 13.62 |
| SWE | 15.40 | 40.71 | 23.69 | 17.05 | 13.10 |
| HA | 16.01 | 41.62 | 24.30 | 18.31 | 12.70 |
| EM | 15.82 | 41.41 | 24.00 | 17.60 | 12.90 |
| SWE + HA | 16.81 | 41.72 | 24.75 | 18.62 | 12.50 |
| SWE +EM | 16.60 | 41.53 | 24.40 | 18.40 | 12.60 |
| HA + EM | 17.00 | 43.04 | 26.00 | 19.10 | 12.20 |
| SWE + HA + EM | 17.70 | 43.63 | 27.51 | 20.74 | 11.40 |
| LSD at 5% | 0.38 | 0.58 | 1.33 | 1.20 | 0.46 |
| 2015 / 2016 | | | | | |
| Control | 15.37 | 39.77 | 24.41 | 17.30 | 12.50 |
| SWE | 16.07 | 40.90 | 26.20 | 18.60 | 12.12 |
| HA | 16.83 | 42.20 | 27.24 | 19.40 | 11.80 |
| EM | 16.30 | 41.92 | 27.11 | 19.00 | 12.00 |
| SWE + HA | 18.13 | 42.83 | 27.98 | 19.80 | 11.61 |
| SWE +EM | 17.50 | 42.10 | 27.43 | 19.60 | 11.70 |
| HA + EM | 18.57 | 43.62 | 28.68 | 20.80 | 11.10 |
| SWE + HA + EM | 19.10 | 44.30 | 31.11 | 22.30 | 10.21 |
| LSD at 5% | 0.52 | 0.67 | 1.46 | 1.25 | 0.30 |

²SWE: seaweed extract; HA: Humic acid; EM: effective microorganisms

The improvement of growth of snap bean plants in response to application of SWE + HA + EM may result in improving the quality of snap bean pods. These results were in agreement with [12] for humic acid on snap bean; [2] for seaweed extract on snap bean and [9] for HA and EM on snap bean.

There was significant reduction in fiber content in pods obtained from plants treated with SWE + HA + EM as compared with the other treatments or untreated control (Table 5). On the other word the minimum values of fibers (11.40 and 10.21) were obtained from plants treated with SWE + HA + EM; while, untreated plants gave a maximum values of crude fibers (13.62 and 12.50) in the first and second seasons, respectively. These results were in agreement with [2] for seaweed on snap bean; [22] for HA and SWE on pea and [9] for HA and EM on snap bean.

[10] found that the SWE treatment on improved viciafaba quality might be due to the consequence of uptake of magnesium content from SWE; SWE can improve the accumulation of total carbohydrate, total protein, and total chlorophyll content.

The stimulatory effects of humic substances have been directly correlated with enhanced uptake of macronutrients, such as nitrogen, phosphorus, and sulfur [5], increasing plant growth promoters [17], which reduce fiber contents in addition to increasing assimilate production which mean higher carbohydrate going to the pods and less stress on the growing pods [31], increase total chlorophyll, protein % and total carbohydrate % of common bean pods [12].

The exact mechanisms of EM interacts and functions have been attributed to many factors including suppression of plant pathogens and diseases, enhanced nutrient availability and stimulated plant growth i.e., auxinmediated effects [13]. Also, it has a beneficial role in accelerating the mineralization processes of organic and helps nutrient release under temperate conditions and this enhances activity [21] and photosynthesis [34].

CONCLUSION

From the previous results, it could be concluded that snap bean plants cv. Hama treated with the mixture of SWE + HA + EM improved vegetative growth parameters of plants, total yield and its components and pod quality.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.O.A.C. Quality of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington DC. USA 1990.
- [2] Abou El-Yazied A, El-GizawyAM,RagabMI and HamedES.Effect of seaweed extract and compost treatments on growth, yield and quality of snap bean. J. of American Sci. 2012; 8(6):1-20.
- [3] Al-SahaffFHR. Applied plant nutrition. Al-Hekma House, Baghdad University, Ministry of Higher Education, Iraq 1989 (In Arabic).
- [4] Barakat MAS, Osman ASH,SemidaWM and Gyushi MAH.Influence of potassium humate and ascorbic acid on growth, yield and chemical composition of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) grown under reclaimed soil conditions. International Journal of Academic Research2015; 7(1):192-199.
- [5] Chen Y and Aviad T. Effect of humic substances on plant growth. In: Humic Substances in Soil and Crop Science: Selected Readings, Ed., P. Maccarthy, Amer. Soc. of Agron. and Soil Sci. Soc. of Amer., Madison, Wisconsin,1990;pp.161-186.
- [6] Dhargalkar VK and Pereira N. Seaweed: promising plant of the millennium. Sci. Cult. 2005; 71:60-66.
- [7] Dubois M, Gilles RA,Hamillon J,Rebers R and Smith I. Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances. Anal.Chem.1956; 28:350-356.
- [8] El-BassionyAM,Fawzy ZF,Abd El-Baky MMH and Mahmoud AR. Response of snap bean plants to mineral fertilizers and humic acid application. Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences2010; 6(2):169-175.
- [9] El-Sayed HA,Zaghloul MM,NourKAM and Attia RH. Treatment of snap bean plants grown under sandy soil conditions with some natural materials and its relation to growth, yield and pod quality. J. plant production, Mansoura Univ.2015; 6(3): 395-421.
- [10] El-Sheekh MM and El-Saiedh AEF. Effect of seaweed extracts on seed germination, seedling growth and some metabolic processes of faba beans (*Vicia faba* L.), Phykos1999; 38: 55-64.
- [11] GadEl-Hak SH, Ahmed AM and Moustafa YMM. Effect of foliar application with two antioxidants and humic acid on growth, yield and yield components of peas (*Pisumsativum* L.). Journal of Horticultural Science & Ornamental Plants2012;4(3):318-328.
- [12] Hanafy AAH,Nesiem MR,Hewedy AM and SallamHEI-S.Effect of some simulative compounds on growth, yield and chemical composition of snap bean plants grown under calcareous soil conditions. Journal of American Science2010; 6(10): 552-569.
- [13] HigaT and Wididana GN. Changes in the soil microflora induced by effective microorganism, In: On Kyusei Nature Farming. (Eds. Parr, S.B.; Hornick and Whitman, C.E.), Proc. 1st Intl. Conf., Oct 17-21, (1989), KhonKaen Thailand Pub., USDA Washington 1991; pp. 153-162.
- [14] Hussain T,Anjum AD and Tahir J. Technology of beneficial microorganisms. Nature Farming Environ2002; 3:1-14.
- [15] Hussain T,Javaid T, Parr JF, Jilani G and Haq MA. Rice and wheat production in Pakistan with effective microorganisms. Am. J. Altern. Agric.1999; 14:30-36.
- [16] Javaid A and Bajwa R. Field evaluation of effective microorganisms (EM) application for growth, nodulation, and nutrition of mung bean. Turk J. Agric.2011; 35:443-452.

- [17] Kaya M, Atak M, Khawar KM, Çiftçi CY and Özcan S. Effect of pre-sowing seed treatment with zinc and foliar spray of humic acids on yield of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). International Journal of Agriculture & Biology 2005; 6:875-878.
- [18] Khan A, Gurmani A, Khan MZ, Hussain F, Akhtar ME and Khan S. Effect of humic acid on the growth, yield, nutrient composition, photosynthetic pigment and total sugar contents of peas (*Pisum Sativum* L.). Res. J. Agric. Biolo. Sci. 2012; 6 (2):1-7.
- [19] Khan W, Rayirath UP, Subramanian S, Jithesh MN, Rayorath P, Hodges DM, Critchley AT, Craigie JS, Norrie J and Prithiviraj B. Seaweed extracts as biostimulants of plant growth and development. J. Plant Growth Regul. 2009; 28:386–399.
- [20] Kim MK, Choi KM and Yin CR. Odorous swine wastewater treatment by purple non-sulfur bacteria, *Rhodospseudomonas palustris*, isolated from eutrophicated ponds. Biotechnol. Lett. 2004; 26:819-822.
- [21] Konoplya EF and Higa T. Mechanisms of EM 1. Effect on the growth and development of plants and its application in agricultural production. In Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Kyusei Nature Farming, 28-31 October 1999, Pretoria, South Africa 2001; pp. 93-96.
- [22] Mandour MA, Mohamed OO and Ragab AA. Effect of foliar spray with some stimulant materials on yield and seed chemical constituents of pea plants. Minufiya J. Agric. Res. 2014; 39(2):1071-1082.
- [23] Rai SN and Mudgal VD. Synergistic effect of sodium hydroxide and steam pressure treatment on compositional changes and fibre utilization of wheat straw. Biological Waster 1988; 24:105-114.
- [24] Ranjith NK, Sasikala C and Ramana CV. Catabolism of L-phenylalanine and L-tyrosine by *Rhodobactersphaeroides* OU5 occurs through 3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine. Res. Microbiol. 2007; 158:506-511.
- [25] Salman SR, Abou-Hussein SD, Abd El-Mawgoud AMR and El-Nemr MA. Fruit yield and quality of watermelon as affected by hybrids and humic acid application. J. Appl. Sci. Res. 2005; 1(1):51-58.
- [26] Sames CE. Preharvest factors affecting postharvest texture. Postharvest Biol. Technol. 1999; 15(3):249-254.
- [27] Şehirali S. Yemekliktanebaklagiller Ders Kitabı. (Seed Legumes, Lecture Notes), Faculty of Agriculture, University of Ankara, Turkey 1988; pp. 314.
- [28] Shuixiu H and Ruizhen W. A study on the effect of KOMIX, humic acid containing organic fertilizer on spring soybean. Acta Agric. 2001; 23(4):463-466.
- [29] Snedecor GW and Cochran WG. Statistical Methods. 8th Ed., Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames, Iowa, USA. 1980; p. 476.
- [30] Stevenson FJ. Humic chemistry. Genesis Composition Reactions. 2nd Ed. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., U.S.A. 1994.
- [31] Tantawy AS, Abd El-Mawgoud AMR, Habib HAM and Hafez MM. Growth, productivity and pod quality responses of green bean plants to foliar application of nutrients and pollen extracts. Res. J. Agric. Biolo. Sci. 2009; 5(6): 1032-1038.
- [32] Temple WP and Bomke AA. Effect of kelp (*Macrocystis integrifolia*) on soil chemical properties and crop response. Plant and Soil 1989; 105:213-222.
- [33] Wettstein, D. Chlorophyll-lethale under submikroskopische formwechsel der plastiden. Exptl. Cell. Res. 1957; 12:427-433.
- [34] Xu HL, Wang R, Mridha MAU, Kato S, Katase K and Umemura H. Effect of organic fertilization and EM inoculation on leaf photosynthesis and fruit yield and quality of tomato plants. Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Kysei Nature Farming, 28-31 October, 1999, Pretoria, South Africa 2001; pp. 87-91.
- [35] Zhang X, Ervin EH and Schmidt RE. Physiological effects of liquid applications of a seaweed extract and a humic acid on creeping bent grass. J. Amer. Hort. Sci. 2003; 128: 492-496.